

The Complete Study Guide for DV026G Information Security

Daniel Bosk*

studyguide.tex 2175 2015-01-15 09:23:15Z danbos

Contents

1	Scope and Aims	2
2	Course Structure and Content	2
2.1	Course Schedule	2
2.2	Foundations of Security	3
2.3	MSB's Framework, Part I	3
2.4	M1 Information Security Management System	3
2.5	MSB's Framework, Part II	3
2.6	M2 and S3 Assessment and Risk Analysis	3
2.7	Information Theory	5
2.8	Cryptographic Mechanisms	5
2.9	Identification and Authentication	5
2.10	Security Usability	5
2.11	Access Control	5
2.12	Secure Protocols	6
2.13	L4 Password Cracking and Social Engineering	6
2.14	S5 Password Policies	6
2.15	Accountability and Non-Repudiation	6
2.16	L6 Privacy of Communication	7
2.17	Software Security	7
2.18	DRM and Trusted Computing	7
2.19	Side-Channels	7
2.20	P7 A Short Gap Analysis	8
3	Examination	8
3.1	Handed-In Assignments	8
3.2	"What if I'm not done in time?"	9

*This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported license. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/>.

1 Scope and Aims

The course treats information security from a user, organisation and technological perspective. The first part of the course concerns security on a strategic level, i.e. working with security in general within an organisation. The second part of the course focuses on the operative parts, i.e. security mechanisms and principles for design of secure systems. In full, the course aims at giving you an understanding for threats to security and how to work to protect against these.

A more concrete summary of the course achievements are the following, after completing the course you should be able to:

- Explain basic concepts and models in information security.
- Analyse threats and possible protection mechanisms.
- Apply the Swedish Civil Contingency Agency’s Framework for Information Security Management Systems to analyse, assess and improve the information security in an organisation.

2 Course Structure and Content

The first part of the course, the one covering information security on a strategic level, concerns organisational management systems for information security; how to implement these and how to continuously run them in an organisation. The main material used for this part [1–19] is produced by the Swedish Civil Contingencies Agency (MSB) and is based on the ISO 27000 standard documents.

The second part of the course will focus on the content of Anderson’s book *Security Engineering* [20]. Gollmann’s book *Computer Security* [21] is also useful, it has more technical details than [20]. Although references to [21] is provided in the reading instructions, those are not necessary for this course.

The focus of the second part is on actual attacks, security mechanisms, and how to use these in secure protocols. There are also some additional material for this part of the course, e.g. research papers [22, 23] and some other material [24, 25]. In addition to these there will also be some news articles [26–32] which has documented some of the major security incidents during the past few years.

MSB has the website CERT-SE [33] which has some interesting references and security news, e.g. virus epidemics in Sweden.

The course is taught using lectures, individual laboratory assignments, seminars, a project, and finally an exam. You can find a more detailed timetable, containing lab sessions etc., in the following section. All assignments are numbered consecutively prefixed with an “L” for laboratory assignments, “S” for a seminar assignment, and “M” for memos. For details on the examination of these and more information about deadlines, see section 3.

2.1 Course Schedule

To make your reading of the course easier you are presented with a suggested schedule in this section. You are free to follow this schedule or any schedule you make for yourself, but the deadlines, laboratory sessions, and lectures will

follow this schedule. You will find a short summary of schedule in Table 1. The detailed reading instructions for each item in the schedule can be found in the following sections.

2.2 Foundations of Security

Gollmann’s chapter on “Foundations of Computer Security” [21, Ch. 3] attempts at a definition of Computer Security and related terms, e.g. confidentiality, integrity, and availability, which we need for our treatment of the topic. After reading this chapter you are encouraged to do exercises 3.2, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and 3.8 in [21].

Anderson also covers this in Chapter 1 of [20]. However, he treats a wider area than just *computer* security, he covers many aspects of security in different examples.

2.3 MSB’s Framework, Part I

This lecture covers the first part of MSB’s framework [1–5], i.e. ISO 27001. This part covers how to initialise the work with security in an organisation, i.e. how to set up an Information Security Management System (ISMS). We will talk about the most important steps in this process.

2.4 M1 Information Security Management System

Du ska inför skrivningen av detta PM ha läst dokumenten

- *Introduktion till metodstödet* [1],
- *Säkra ledningens engagemang* [2], och
- *Projektplanering* [3].

2.5 MSB’s Framework, Part II

This lecture covers the remaining part of MSB’s material [6, 8–19]. This part of the material treats how to run an ISMS. The largest part is the gap analysis, i.e. finding the gap between the security practices in the organisation and the practices recommended by ISO 27000. The main point of this part is not something done once and never again, an ISMS is a continuous process.

2.6 M2 and S3 Assessment and Risk Analysis

Du ska inför denna promemoria ha läst dokumenten

- *Verksamhetsanalys* [4], och
- *Risikanalys* [5]

i MSB:s metodstöd.

Course Week	Work
1	Course Start/Foundations of Security Lecture on MSB's Framework, Part I Start working on M1 (isms) Lecture on MSB's Framework, Part II Start working on M2, prepare S3 (risk)
2	Lecture on Information Theory Lecture on Cryptographic Mechanisms, Part I Lecture on Cryptographic Mechanisms, Part II First grading of M1 (isms), M2 (risk)
3	Lecture on Identification and Authentication Lecture on Security Usability Seminar session S3 (risk)
4	Lecture on Access Control Lecture on Secure Protocols Lecture on Accountability and Non-Repudiation Seminar session S5 (pwdpolicies) Lab session L4 (passwd), L6 (privcomm)
5	Lecture on Software Security Lecture on DRM and Trusted Computing Lecture on Side-Channels Lab session L4 (passwd), L6 (privcomm)
6	Tutoring session for project, L4 (passwd), L6 (privcomm) First grading of L4 (passwd), L6 (privcomm)
7	Tutoring session for project, L4 (passwd), L6 (privcomm)
8	Tutoring session for project, L4 (passwd), L6 (privcomm)
9	Tutoring session for project, L4 (passwd), L6 (privcomm)
10	First exam First grading of project Second grading of M1 (isms), M2 (risk) Second seminar session for S3 (risk), S5 (pwdpolicies) Second grading of L4 (passwd), L6 (privcomm)
+3 months	Second exam Second grading of project Final grading of M1 (isms), M2 (risk) Final seminar session for S3 (risk), S5 (pwdpolicies) Final grading of L4 (passwd), L6 (privcomm)
+6 months	Final exam Final grading of project

Table 1: A summary of the parts of the course and when they will (or should) be done. The table is adapted to taking this course on half-time study rate.

2.7 Information Theory

The area of Information Theory was founded in 1948 by Claude Shannon. It concerns information, e.g. how much information we gain by seeing certain data. It is also a measure of uncertainty in information, and has thus plenty of application in security and cryptography.

The concept of entropy, the main part of Information Theory, is treated in a few short texts: *A Primer on Information Theory and Privacy* [34] and applied in “How Unique Is Your Browser?” [35], both by Eckersley, and also in “Chapter 6: Shannon entropy” by Ueltschi [36]. This is then utilised in the text “Grundläggande lösenordsanalys” [24] (in Swedish), and “Of passwords and people: Measuring the effect of password-composition policies” [23] which treats passwords.

2.8 Cryptographic Mechanisms

To fully understand how many security mechanisms can be implemented we need cryptography. Cryptography has a central role for many security mechanisms. Chapter 5 in Anderson’s *Security Engineering* [20] and Chapter 14 in Gollmann’s *Computer Security* [21] cover the aspects of cryptography we need in this course.

To practice your understanding of these mechanisms it is recommended to do exercises 14.2, 14.3 and 14.7 in [21].

2.9 Identification and Authentication

Identification and authentication of principals have always been a central part of computer security. Why we want to do this, and how we can accomplish this is treated in Chapter 4 in [21].

Anderson also treats this topic (Chapter 2 in [20]), although in a wider perspective with less technical details.

When you have read this chapter you should do exercises 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 and 4.6 in [21]. (Also apply your knowledge of entropy to these exercises.)

2.10 Security Usability

One important aspect of security, which traditionally is forgotten, is the users’ weaknesses. The psychology of the human mind is therefore an important subject to discuss in the context of security. Anderson gives a short summary of the psychology of users, their strengths and weaknesses, in Chapter 2 “Usability and Psychology” in [20].

Also treated in this lecture is the ever-recurring problem of password policies. The material covering this area is the article “Of passwords and people: Measuring the effect of password-composition policies” [23] and its follow-up article “Can long passwords be secure and usable?” [37].

2.11 Access Control

Once you have authenticated users you can support access control – and this is also one of the main reasons to authenticate them in the first place. Access control aims at controlling who may access what, and how they may access it. This is treated by Chapter 5, followed by Chapters 11 and 12, in *Computer*

Security [21]. You are also recommended to read Anderson’s treatment of the subject, he treats this in Chapters 4, 8, and 9 in *Security Engineering* [20].

To establish your newly gained knowledge in this area, you should do exercises 5.1, 5.2, 5.5, 5.6, 5.8 and 5.9 in [21].

2.12 Secure Protocols

As soon as two principals need to interact, there is need for a protocol which secures the communication, be it inside or between systems – even one principal communicating with itself in different points in time, which is the case when storing something for use at a later time.

Anderson gives an overview of this area in *Security Engineering* [20], Chapter 3 “Protocols”. Gollmann has a more technically detailed treatment in Chapter 15 of *Computer Security* [21].

2.13 L4 Password Cracking and Social Engineering

Before doing this laboratory assignment you should read Chapter 2 “Usability and Psychology” and Chapter 5 “Cryptography” in Anderson’s *Security Engineering* [20]. You should also read the compendium “Grundläggande lösenordsanalys” [24] and the papers “Human Selection of Mnemonic Phrase-based Passwords” [38] and “Of Passwords and People” [23]. After that you should read about some recent incidents where password databases have leaked, e.g. [29–32].

You should also read about APTs. First you should read about an incident striking the security company RSA in [28]. Then you will read a paper on different approaches to APT, “Sherlock Holmes and The Case of Advanced Persistent Threat” by Juels and Yen [22].

2.14 S5 Password Policies

First you must read Chapter 2 “Usability and Psychology” in [20]. Then, to participate in this seminar you must have read the paper “Of Passwords and People” by Komanduri et al. [23]. In this paper the authors have studied how different password policies affects users’ choice of passwords.

2.15 Accountability and Non-Repudiation

The need for accountability has been apparent in civilisations for as long as they have existed. One of today’s institutions which are most renowned for keeping accounts are banks, it is quite natural therefore that Anderson describes accountability with start in the experience from banks. He treats this subject in Chapter 10 “Banking and Book-keeping” in [20].

Gollmann also describes the Clark-Wilson Security Policy Model in Section 12.3 of his book [21]. This is a model of how to securely enforcing a security policy.

Further, Schneier and Kelsey describes a system for secure audit logs in their paper “Secure audit logs to support computer forensics” [39]. The construction described therein is a method to safely store audit logs in an untrusted machine; in the scheme, all log entries generated prior to a compromise will be impossible for the attacker to read, modify, or destroy undetectably. This is not interesting

because you very probably will implement this scheme, because you will probably not. It is interesting because it is a bit counter-intuitive at first, it is an example of application of crypto mechanisms, and having seen it will help you to “keep your heads out of any boxes”.

2.16 L6 Privacy of Communication

Before starting this assignment you should have read chapters 5 and 23.4.4–5 in *Security Engineering* [20]. You should also read the paper “Exploring steganography: Seeing the unseen” [40] to fully understand how steganography works in practice. (Other recommended papers are “On the limits of steganography” [41] and “Hide and seek: An introduction to steganography” [42].)

During this assignment you should consult the documentation [43–46] for instructions on how to use the specific softwares.

2.17 Software Security

Perhaps the part of security most people intuitively associate with security, and computer security in particular, is software security. This part of computer security treats vulnerabilities in software, e.g. possibility of buffer overruns or code injections. Gollmann treats this area in Chapter 10 of his book, *Computer Security* [21]. The recommended exercises to do after reading this material are 10.1, 10.3 and 10.4 [21].

Anderson also treats this subject—in Chapter 4.4 and Chapter 18 of [20]—albeit with less technical details.

2.18 DRM and Trusted Computing

Another aspect of security is to protect parts of the system from the system user, this is what Digital Rights Management is all about. A content owner who only allows using his or her material in a certain way must have some means of ensuring this is enforced.

We also have the other perspective of the user being able to ensure the integrity of the computer system before use. E.g. if the user has a laptop while travelling, how can the user be sure no foreign intelligence agency inserted a modified version of the operating system during the customs inspection? Or, what about the computer left in the hotel room, perhaps the hotel aide replaced the bootloader to break your full-disk encryption?

Both of these perspectives boil down to the common need of trusted computing. This is treated in chapters 16, 18 and 22 in *Security Engineering* [20].

2.19 Side-Channels

When looking at secure communication it is easy to assume it is safe just because it is encrypted. This is not always true. All data communicated is provided with confidentiality, however, there is information left to be extracted. For instance the fact *that* two principals are communicating, *when* they are communicating, the time each operation takes to perform, etc., is not provided any confidentiality. The information possible to extract from this is what is called side-channel information.

There is another aspect of this too, namely covert channels. Covert channels are channels over which communication can take place, even with limited bandwidth, despite the prohibition of this due to the security policy.

An overview of this area is provided in Chapters 17 and 23 of [20]. An interesting paper on this topic is *RSA Key Extraction via Low-Bandwidth Acoustic Cryptanalysis* [47] where the authors extract RSA keys using acoustic side-channels, i.e. they analyse the sound emitted by the electrical circuitry to find the computations done and hence derive the RSA key used.

2.20 P7 A Short Gap Analysis

The project consists of doing a short gap analysis. As such you must have read MSB's material [1–6, 8–19].

3 Examination

The memo M1 is graded “Pass” (P) or “Fail” (F). It is reported as X104 in Ladok.

The memo M2, the seminars S3 and S5 are also graded “Pass” (P) or “Fail” (F). These are jointly reported as I104 in the Ladok database and corresponds to a total of 1.5 ECTS credit points.

The laboratory assignments L4 and L6 are graded “Pass” (P) or “Fail” (F). They are reported jointly as L104 in Ladok and corresponds to 1.5 ECTS credit points.

The project report is graded from A, C, or E for for passing or F or Fx for failing. The project is reported as P104 in Ladok and corresponds to 3 ECTS credit points.

Finally, the exam is reported as T104, it is also graded A, C, or E for passing or F or Fx for failing. The exam corresponds to 1.5 ECTS credit points.

The final grade for the course is the average of the exam and the project. For example, if you have an A on the exam but a C on the project, then you will get a B on the course total.

3.1 Handed-In Assignments

In general, all hand-ins in the course must be in a “passable” condition; i.e. they must be well-written, grammatically correct and without spelling errors, have citations and references according to [48] (see also [49] for a tutorial), and finally fulfil all requirements from the assignment instruction. If you hand something in which is not in this condition, you will receive an F without further comment.

All material handed-in must be created by yourself, or, in the case of group assignments, created by you or one of the group members. When you refer to or quote other texts, then you must provide a correct list of references and, in the case of quotations, the quoted text must be clearly marked as quoted. If any part of the document is plagiarised you risk being suspended from study for a predetermined time, not exceeding six months, due to disciplinary offence. If it is a group assignment, all group members will be held accountable for disciplinary offence unless it is clearly marked in the work who is responsible for the part containing the plagiarism.

If cooperation takes place without the assignment instruction explicitly allowing this, this will be regarded as a disciplinary offence with the risk of being suspended for a predetermined time, not exceeding six months. Unless otherwise stated, all assignments are to be done individually.

3.2 “What if I’m not done in time?”

The deadlines on this course are of great importance, make sure to keep these!

For seminars and presentations there will be three sessions during the course of a year, if you cannot make it to any of those you will have to return the next time the course is given; i.e. up to a year later. All of these sessions will be in the course schedule (in the Student Portal). If you miss a deadline for the preparation for a seminar session, then you have to go for the next seminar even if the first seminar has not passed yet.

Written assignments are graded once during the course, most often shortly after the deadline of the assignment. After the course you are offered two more attempts within a year. In total you have three chances for having your assignments graded over the period of a year. After that you should come back the next time the course is given.

No tutoring is planned after the end of the course, i.e. after the last tutoring session scheduled in the course schedule. If you are not done with your assignments during the course and want to be guaranteed tutoring you have to reregister for the next time the course is given. Reregistration is a lower priority class of applicants for a course, all students applying for the course the first time have higher priority – this includes reserves too.

Thus, if you feel that you will not be done with the course on time, it is better to stop the course at an early stage. If you register a break within three weeks of the course start, you will be in the higher priority class of applicants the next time you apply for the course. You can register such a break yourself in the Student Portal.

References

- [1] Helena Andersson, Jan-Olof Andersson, Fredrik Björck, Martin Eriksson, Rebecca Eriksson, Robert Lundberg, Michael Patrickson, and Kristina Starkerud. *Introduktion till metodstödet*. Dec. 2011. URL: <http://www.informationssakerhet.se>.
- [2] Helena Andersson, Jan-Olof Andersson, Fredrik Björck, Martin Eriksson, Rebecca Eriksson, Robert Lundberg, Michael Patrickson, and Kristina Starkerud. *Säkra ledningens engagemang*. Dec. 2011. URL: <http://www.informationssakerhet.se>.
- [3] Helena Andersson, Jan-Olof Andersson, Fredrik Björck, Martin Eriksson, Rebecca Eriksson, Robert Lundberg, Michael Patrickson, and Kristina Starkerud. *Projektplanering*. Dec. 2011. URL: <http://www.informationssakerhet.se>.

- [4] Helena Andersson, Jan-Olof Andersson, Fredrik Björck, Martin Eriksson, Rebecca Eriksson, Robert Lundberg, Michael Patrickson, and Kristina Starkerud. *Verksamhetsanalys*. Dec. 2011. URL: <http://www.informationssakerhet.se>.
- [5] Helena Andersson, Jan-Olof Andersson, Fredrik Björck, Martin Eriksson, Rebecca Eriksson, Robert Lundberg, Michael Patrickson, and Kristina Starkerud. *Riskanalys*. Dec. 2011. URL: <http://www.informationssakerhet.se>.
- [6] Helena Andersson, Jan-Olof Andersson, Fredrik Björck, Martin Eriksson, Rebecca Eriksson, Robert Lundberg, Michael Patrickson, and Kristina Starkerud. *Gapanalys*. Dec. 2011. URL: <http://www.informationssakerhet.se>.
- [7] Helena Andersson, Jan-Olof Andersson, Fredrik Björck, Martin Eriksson, Rebecca Eriksson, Robert Lundberg, Michael Patrickson, and Kristina Starkerud. *Gapanalys – Checklistan*. Dec. 2011. URL: <http://www.informationssakerhet.se>.
- [8] Helena Andersson, Jan-Olof Andersson, Fredrik Björck, Martin Eriksson, Rebecca Eriksson, Robert Lundberg, Michael Patrickson, and Kristina Starkerud. *Välja säkerhetsåtgärder*. Dec. 2011. URL: <http://www.informationssakerhet.se>.
- [9] Helena Andersson, Jan-Olof Andersson, Fredrik Björck, Martin Eriksson, Rebecca Eriksson, Robert Lundberg, Michael Patrickson, and Kristina Starkerud. *Utforma säkerhetsprocesser*. Dec. 2011. URL: <http://www.informationssakerhet.se>.
- [10] Helena Andersson, Jan-Olof Andersson, Fredrik Björck, Martin Eriksson, Rebecca Eriksson, Robert Lundberg, Michael Patrickson, and Kristina Starkerud. *Utforma policy och styrdokument*. Dec. 2011. URL: <http://www.informationssakerhet.se>.
- [11] Helena Andersson, Jan-Olof Andersson, Fredrik Björck, Martin Eriksson, Rebecca Eriksson, Robert Lundberg, Michael Patrickson, and Kristina Starkerud. *Planera genomförande*. Dec. 2011. URL: <http://www.informationssakerhet.se>.
- [12] Helena Andersson, Jan-Olof Andersson, Fredrik Björck, Martin Eriksson, Rebecca Eriksson, Robert Lundberg, Michael Patrickson, and Kristina Starkerud. *Konstruera och anskaffa*. Dec. 2011. URL: <http://www.informationssakerhet.se>.
- [13] Helena Andersson, Jan-Olof Andersson, Fredrik Björck, Martin Eriksson, Rebecca Eriksson, Robert Lundberg, Michael Patrickson, and Kristina Starkerud. *Införa*. Dec. 2011. URL: <http://www.informationssakerhet.se>.
- [14] Helena Andersson, Jan-Olof Andersson, Fredrik Björck, Martin Eriksson, Rebecca Eriksson, Robert Lundberg, Michael Patrickson, and Kristina Starkerud. *Övervaka*. Dec. 2011. URL: <http://www.informationssakerhet.se>.

- [15] Helena Andersson, Jan-Olof Andersson, Fredrik Björck, Martin Eriksson, Rebecca Eriksson, Robert Lundberg, Michael Patrickson, and Kristina Starkerud. *Granska*. Dec. 2011. URL: <http://www.informationssakerhet.se>.
- [16] Helena Andersson, Jan-Olof Andersson, Fredrik Björck, Martin Eriksson, Rebecca Eriksson, Robert Lundberg, Michael Patrickson, and Kristina Starkerud. *Ledningens genomgång*. Dec. 2011. URL: <http://www.informationssakerhet.se>.
- [17] Helena Andersson, Jan-Olof Andersson, Fredrik Björck, Martin Eriksson, Rebecca Eriksson, Robert Lundberg, Michael Patrickson, and Kristina Starkerud. “Utveckla LIS och skyddet”. Dec. 2011. URL: <http://www.informationssakerhet.se>.
- [18] Helena Andersson, Jan-Olof Andersson, Fredrik Björck, Martin Eriksson, Rebecca Eriksson, Robert Lundberg, Michael Patrickson, and Kristina Starkerud. “Kommunicera förbättringar”. Dec. 2011. URL: <http://www.informationssakerhet.se>.
- [19] Helena Andersson, Jan-Olof Andersson, Fredrik Björck, Martin Eriksson, Rebecca Eriksson, Robert Lundberg, Michael Patrickson, and Kristina Starkerud. “Fortsatt arbete”. Dec. 2011. URL: <http://www.informationssakerhet.se>.
- [20] Ross J. Anderson. *Security Engineering. A guide to building dependable distributed systems*. 2nd ed. Indianapolis, IN: Wiley, 2008. ISBN: 978-0-470-06852-6 (hbk.) URL: <http://www.cl.cam.ac.uk/~rja14/book.html>.
- [21] Dieter Gollmann. *Computer Security*. 3rd ed. Chichester, West Sussex, U.K.: Wiley, 2011. ISBN: 9780470741153 (pbk.)
- [22] Ari Juels and Ting-Fang Yen. “Sherlock Holmes and The Case of the Advanced Persistent Threat”. In: *LEET*. 2012. URL: <https://www.rsa.com/rsalabs/staff/bios/ajuels/publications/SherlockHolmes.pdf>.
- [23] Saranga Komanduri, Richard Shay, Patrick Gage Kelley, Michelle L. Mazurek, Lujo Bauer, Christin Nicolas, Lorrie Faith Cranor, and Serge Egelman. “Of passwords and people: Measuring the effect of password-composition policies”. In: *CHI*. 2011. URL: http://cups.cs.cmu.edu/rshay/pubs/passwords_and_people2011.pdf.
- [24] Daniel Bosk. “Grundläggande lösenordsanalys”. 2013. URL: <http://ver.miun.se/courses/infosakc/compendii/pwdanalysis.pdf>.
- [25] Daniel Bosk. “Introduktion till några klassiska chiffer”. 2013. URL: <http://ver.miun.se/courses/infosakc/compendii/krypto.pdf>.
- [26] Mat Honan. *How Apple and Amazon Security Flaws Led to My Epic Hacking*. Aug. 2012. URL: <http://www.wired.com/threatlevel/2012/08/mat-hacked/>.
- [27] Kim Zetter. *How Not to Become Mat Honan: A Short Primer on Online Security*. Aug. 2012. URL: <http://www.wired.com/threatlevel/2012/08/how-not-to-become-mat-honan/>.

- [28] Dennis Fisher. “RSA: SecurID Attack Was Phishing Via an Excel Spreadsheet”. Apr. 2011. URL: https://threatpost.com/en_us/blogs/rsa-securid-attack-was-phishing-excel-spreadsheet-040111.
- [29] Troy Hunt. *A brief Sony password analysis*. June 2011. URL: <http://www.troyhunt.com/2011/06/brief-sony-password-analysis.html>.
- [30] Graham Cluley. *The worst passwords you could ever choose exposed by Yahoo Voices hack*. July 2012. URL: <http://nakedsecurity.sophos.com/2012/07/13/yahoo-voices-poor-passwords/>.
- [31] Jon Oberheide. *Brief analysis of the Gawker password dump*. Dec. 2010. URL: <https://blog.duosecurity.com/2010/12/brief-analysis-of-the-gawker-password-dump/>.
- [32] Nik Cubrilovic. *RockYou Hack: From Bad to Worse*. Dec. 2009. URL: <http://techcrunch.com/2009/12/14/rockyou-hack-security-myspace-facebook-passwords/>.
- [33] Myndigheten för samhällsskydd och beredskap. *CERT-SE*. URL: <https://www.cert.se>.
- [34] Peter Eckersley. *A Primer on Information Theory and Privacy*. Jan. 2010. URL: <https://www.eff.org/deeplinks/2010/01/primer-information-theory-and-privacy>.
- [35] Peter Eckersley. “How Unique Is Your Browser?” In: *Privacy Enhancing Technologies*. Springer. 2010, pp. 1–18. URL: <https://panopticlick.eff.org/browser-uniqueness.pdf>.
- [36] Daniel Ueltschi. “Chapter 6: Shannon entropy”. URL: <http://www.ueltschi.org/teaching/chapShannon.pdf>.
- [37] Richard Shay, Saranga Komanduri, Adam L Durity, Phillip Seyoung Huh, Michelle L Mazurek, Sean M Segreti, Blase Ur, Lujo Bauer, Nicolas Christin, and Lorrie Faith Cranor. “Can long passwords be secure and usable?” In: *Proceedings of the 32nd annual ACM conference on Human factors in computing systems*. ACM. 2014, pp. 2927–2936. URL: <http://lorrie.cranor.org/pubs/longpass-chi2014.pdf>.
- [38] Cynthia Kuo, Sasha Romanosky, and Lorrie Faith Cranor. *Human Selection of Mnemonic Phrase-based Passwords*. Tech. rep. 36. Institute of Software Research, 2006. URL: <http://repository.cmu.edu/isr/36/>.
- [39] Bruce Schneier and John Kelsey. “Secure audit logs to support computer forensics”. In: *ACM Transactions on Information and System Security (TISSEC)* 2.2 (1999), pp. 159–176.
- [40] Neil F Johnson and Sushil Jajodia. “Exploring steganography: Seeing the unseen”. In: *Computer* 31.2 (1998), pp. 26–34. URL: http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpls/abs_all.jsp?arnumber=4655281.
- [41] Ross J Anderson and Fabien AP Petitcolas. “On the limits of steganography”. In: *Selected Areas in Communications, IEEE Journal on* 16.4 (1998), pp. 474–481. URL: http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpls/abs_all.jsp?arnumber=668971.
- [42] Niels Provos and Peter Honeyman. “Hide and seek: An introduction to steganography”. In: *Security & Privacy, IEEE* 1.3 (2003), pp. 32–44. URL: http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpls/abs_all.jsp?arnumber=1203220.

- [43] Werner Koch. *Using the GNU Privacy Guard*. Mar. 2012. URL: <http://www.gnupg.org/documentation/manuals/gnupg.pdf>.
- [44] The Gpg4win Initiative. *The Gpg4win Compendium*. Aug. 2010. URL: <http://wald.intevation.org/frs/download.php/775/gpg4win-compendium-en-3.0.0-beta1.pdf>.
- [45] Niels Provos. *outguess - universal steganographic tool*. URL: <http://manpages.ubuntu.com/manpages/utopic/man1/outguess.1.html>.
- [46] Eng. Cosimo Oliboni. *OpenPuff v4.00 Steganography & Watermarking*. July 2012. URL: http://embeddedsw.net/doc/OpenPuff_Help_EN.pdf.
- [47] Daniel Genkin, Adi Shamir, and Eran Tromer. *RSA Key Extraction via Low-Bandwidth Acoustic Cryptanalysis*. Tech. rep. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2013/857, 2013., 2013. URL: <http://eprint.iacr.org/2013/857>.
- [48] D Graffox. *IEEE Citation Reference*. Sept. 2009. URL: <http://www.ieee.org/documents/ieeecitationref.pdf>.
- [49] Joshua M. Paiz, Elizabeth Angeli, Jodi Wagner, Elena Lawrick, Kristen Moore, Michael Anderson, Lars Soderlund, Allen Brizee, and Russell Keck. *In-Text Citations: The Basics*. Nov. 2013. URL: <https://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/owlprint/560/>.