

# Authorisation and Access Control

Daniel Bosk

Department of Information and Communication Systems,  
Mid Sweden University, SE-851 70 Sundsvall.

1st May 2017

## 1 Introduction

- Authentication, authorization and access control
- Access operations

## 2 Access control structures

- Access control matrix
- Capabilities and ACLs
- Ownership

## 3 Access control models

- Identity-based access control
- Role-based access control
- Attribute-based access control
- Protection Rings

## 4 Comparing Security Attributes

- Partial Orderings
- Lattices of Security Levels

## 1 Introduction

- Authentication, authorization and access control
- Access operations

## 2 Access control structures

- Access control matrix
- Capabilities and ACLs
- Ownership

## 3 Access control models

- Identity-based access control
- Role-based access control
- Attribute-based access control
- Protection Rings

## 4 Comparing Security Attributes

- Partial Orderings
- Lattices of Security Levels

- A policy specifies who is allowed to do what.
- Access control enforces operational security policies.

## Definition

- We have an active entity: a *subject* (representing a *principal*).
- The subject tries to access an *object* with some *access operation*.
- To protect this, there is a *reference monitor* granting or denying this access.

## Definition (Authentication)

- Principals make statements.
- Let  $s$  be a statement.
- Authentication answers 'Who said  $s$ ?' by stating a principal.

## Definition (Authorization)

- Let  $o$  be an object.
- Authorization answers 'Who is trusted to access  $o$ ?' by stating a (list of) principal(s).

## Definition (Authentication)

- Principals make statements.
- Let  $s$  be a statement.
- Authentication answers 'Who said  $s$ ?' by stating a principal.

## Definition (Authorization)

- Let  $o$  be an object.
- Authorization answers 'Who is trusted to access  $o$ ?' by stating a (list of) principal(s).

## Idea: Reference monitor

- The reference monitor requires authentication of principals to be able to authorize the subject it represents.
- By authorization the reference monitor decides whether to grant or deny a subjects request for access to an object.
- For this decision the reference monitor must use the security policy.



## Definition

- The elementary access modes for operations are to *observe* or to *alter* a resource.
- Different *access operations* requires combinations of access modes.

## Definition

- An *access right* is a right to perform an access operation.
- *Privileges* are sets of access rights.

## Definition

- The elementary access modes for operations are to *observe* or to *alter* a resource.
- Different *access operations* requires combinations of access modes.

## Definition

- An *access right* is a right to perform an access operation.
- *Privileges* are sets of access rights.

## Example (BLP)

- The Bell-LaPadula (BLP) model has four access rights:
  - Execute
  - Read
  - Append (blind write)
  - Write
- These rights requires the two different modes:

**Execute** requires none.

**Append** requires alter.

**Read** requires observe.

**Write** requires observe and alter.

## Example (Reference monitor)

- In a multi-user OS, processes uses the open(2) system call to request access.
- The OS makes sure no conflicting accesses are granted.
- Note that some things can be used without a direct request.
- E.g. the user doesn't need read permission to execute a program.

## Example (UNIX-like systems)

- In UNIX-like systems we have three access operations:
  - Read
  - Write
  - Execute
- These are applied to both files and directories, but differently for each.
- You can read from a file, or list the content of a directory.
- You can write contents to a file, or create or rename files in a directory.
- You can execute the file, or you can search the directory.
- Operations on subdirectories or files are thus handled by access operations to the parent directory.

## Example

Policies for creating and deleting files are expressed by

- access control on the directory in UNIX-like systems, but
- specific create and delete right in Windows.

## Example

Policies for defining security settings such as access rights are handled by

- access control on the directory in UNIX-like systems, but
- could be handled by right like grant and revoke.

## Example

Policies for creating and deleting files are expressed by

- access control on the directory in UNIX-like systems, but
- specific create and delete right in Windows.

## Example

Policies for defining security settings such as access rights are handled by

- access control on the directory in UNIX-like systems, but
- could be handled by right like grant and revoke.

## 1 Introduction

- Authentication, authorization and access control
- Access operations

## 2 Access control structures

- Access control matrix
- Capabilities and ACLs
- Ownership

## 3 Access control models

- Identity-based access control
- Role-based access control
- Attribute-based access control
- Protection Rings

## 4 Comparing Security Attributes

- Partial Orderings
- Lattices of Security Levels



- We can adapt two different focuses on the policy.
- The first being, “What is a principal allowed to do?”
- The second, “What may be done with an object?”
- Which one is suitable depends on the application.
- E.g. an OS usually takes the second approach as its purpose is to manage objects.
- E.g. applications like databases might focus on what different users are allowed to do.

- We can adapt two different focuses on the policy.
- The first being, “What is a principal allowed to do?”
- The second, “What may be done with an object?”
- Which one is suitable depends on the application.
- E.g. an OS usually takes the second approach as its purpose is to manage objects.
- E.g. applications like databases might focus on what different users are allowed to do.

- The access control structure is used to store an implemented policy.
- This structure should help to express the policy.
- Access rights for each combination of subject and object should be possible to define.
- The importance of the choice of structure is shown when the system scales up.

## Definition (Access control matrix)

- $S$  be the set of subjects,
- $O$  the set of objects, and
- $A$  the set of access operations.
- *Access control matrix*:  $M = (M_{so})$ , where  $s \in S$  and  $o \in O$ .
- Each entry  $M_{so} \subseteq A$  specifies the operations subject  $s$  may perform on the object  $o$ .

## Definition (Access control matrix)

- $S$  be the set of subjects,
- $O$  the set of objects, and
- $A$  the set of access operations.
- *Access control matrix*:  $M = (M_{so})$ , where  $s \in S$  and  $o \in O$ .
- Each entry  $M_{so} \subseteq A$  specifies the operations subject  $s$  may perform on the object  $o$ .

## Note

- The access control matrix is an abstract concept.
- It's not very suitable for implementation.

- Capabilities focuses on the subject.
- Access rights are stored with the subject.
- Capabilities are essentially the rows of the access control matrix.
- Subjects may grant rights to other subjects.
- Maybe even grant right to grant rights.
- How do you know who may access what?
- How do you revoke a capability?

- Focuses on the objects.
- Access rights are stored with the object.
- ACLs are essentially the columns of the access control matrix.
- How do you check access right of a specified subject?



- Who sets the policies?
- The policy is the governing rules of who may access what.
- Who sets or is allowed to change the policy could be assigned to
  - the owner of a resource. This is called *discretionary* access control.
  - a system wide policy decreeing who is allowed access or not. This is called *mandatory* access control.

## 1 Introduction

- Authentication, authorization and access control
- Access operations

## 2 Access control structures

- Access control matrix
- Capabilities and ACLs
- Ownership

## 3 Access control models

- Identity-based access control
- Role-based access control
- Attribute-based access control
- Protection Rings

## 4 Comparing Security Attributes

- Partial Orderings
- Lattices of Security Levels

- To more easily manage access control for many subjects and objects we need another approach than above.
- The solution is to introduce intermediate levels of complexity.

- We might be able to use identity based access control (IBAC).
- IBAC doesn't scale well.
- Thus we add groups to handle multiple principals at the same time, e.g. a computer security class.
- This makes things easier.

- Another approach is to use roles.
- A role is a collection of procedures assigned to users.
- At a first look it reminds a lot about groups.
- However, this is a more high-level way of handling access control.

- The procedures have more complex semantics than just read or write.
- They can only be applied to objects of given data types.
- E.g. transferring funds in a bank.
- RBAC is typically found at the application level.

- We can further have role hierarchies, i.e. relationships between roles.
- E.g. we can have a teacher and a teaching assistant role, where the teacher has all rights of the TA.
- Separation of duties is an important principle in security, i.e. when the same subject isn't allowed to do two related operations.
- There can be static and dynamic policies for separation of duties.

**Flat RBAC** Users are assigned to roles, permissions are assigned to roles. Hence users get permissions via roles.

**Hierarchical RBAC** Adds support for role hierarchies.

**Constrained RBAC** Adds separation of duties.



## Definition

**Policy enforcement point** Inspects request and generates authorization request for PDP.

**Policy decision point, PDP** Evaluates requests against policies. Returns permit or deny.

**Policy information point** Can be used by PDP to access attribute databases.

## Example (Attributes)

- Subject attributes, e.g. age, clearance, department, role, ...
- Action attributes, e.g. read, delete, write, ...
- Object attributes, e.g. type, owner, classification, location, ...
- Contextual attributes, e.g. time, location, ...

- Multics introduced protection rings.
- Low-level version of the high-level BLP.
- These are mainly implemented in hardware and used to protect integrity.
- Access control is based on which rings the subject and object are in.
- E.g. 0 contains kernel, 1 contains OS functionality, 2 contains utilities, and 3 is for user processes.

## 1 Introduction

- Authentication, authorization and access control
- Access operations

## 2 Access control structures

- Access control matrix
- Capabilities and ACLs
- Ownership

## 3 Access control models

- Identity-based access control
- Role-based access control
- Attribute-based access control
- Protection Rings

## 4 Comparing Security Attributes

- Partial Orderings
- Lattices of Security Levels

- Some resources in e.g. the University's Computer Science Department can be accessed by all students, other only by students in a particular class etc.
- Department creates groups "All" and "DT116G", "DT145G" and "DV026G".
- The groups DT116G and All are of course related, DT116G is a subgroup of All and should access everything All can access too.
- However, there is no such relation between DT116G and DT145G.

- We can use these comparisons for security policy decisions.
- Is the group of the subject requesting access a subgroup of the group allowed access?
- These relationships have a corresponding mathematical construction called partial ordering.

## Definition

A *partial ordering*  $\leq$  on a set  $L$  is a relation on  $L \times L$  that is

- reflexive,  $\forall a \in L, a \leq a$ ,
- transitive,  $\forall a, b, c \in L, a \leq b \wedge b \leq c \implies a \leq c$ ,
- antisymmetric,  $\forall a, b \in L, a \leq b \wedge b \leq a \implies a = b$ .

If  $a \leq b$ , we say that  $a$  dominates  $b$ .

## Definition

A *lattice*  $(L, \leq)$  is a set  $L$  with a partial ordering  $\leq$  such that for every two elements  $a, b \in L$  there exists

- an least upper bound  $u \in L$ :  $a \leq u, b \leq u$  and for all  $v \in L$ :  $(a \leq v \wedge b \leq v) \implies u \leq v$ .
- a greatest lower bound  $l \in L$ :  $l \leq a, l \leq b$  and for all  $k \in L$ :  $(k \leq a \wedge k \leq b) \implies k \leq l$ .



## Lattices of Security Levels